





Observation of the Electromagnetic Effect & System Size dependence via charge-dependent directed flow in U+U Collisions at 193 GeV

Muhammad Farhan Taseer



中国科学院近代物理研究所 Institute of Modern Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences

(FCV Meeting - 1st May, 2024)







- **Physics Motivations**
- **Analysis Procedure**
- **Datasets:** Events, Tracks, PID & Bad Runs Selection
- **Systematic Uncertainties of v**₁
- \Box v₁ and Δ v₁ Results for U+U collisions
- \Box v₁ and Δ v₁ Comparison with Au+Au & Isobar
- $\Box \quad \Delta a_1 \text{ study (cross check)}$
- Summary



Physics Motivation



Directed Flow (v_1) describes the collective sideward motion of the produced particles and nuclear fragments, and carries information from the early stages.

1- <u>Electromagnetic Effects on V₁</u>

- In heavy Ion Collisions, an Ultra strong magnetic filed (10¹⁸ gauss) is produced at very early stages
- Lorentz force results in an electric current along x-axis, referred to <u>Hall effect</u>
- ✤ As "B" decreases, a current induced in the opposite direction referred to Faradays Effect
- Net electric current (sum of Hall & Faraday effects) results in a charge dependent flow "v₁"



https://arxiv.org/pdf/2304.03430.pdf

2- System Size Dependence on V₁

The measurement of directed flow for the inclusive charged particles presented in this paper reported that " v_1 " only depends on the incident energy but not on the size of the colliding system at a given centrality

In the present studies, U-U collision data can be used to probe system size dependence as well as electromagnetic effects







For this analysis, v₁ is computed using <u>Event Plane Method</u> in which we estimate the reaction plane, called the event plane, from the observed event plane angle determined from the anisotropic flow itself.

$$v_1 = rac{\langle \cos{(\phi - \Psi_1^{EP})}
angle}{R_1}$$

Where , $\Psi_1^{\text{EP}} \, \text{is reconstructed using ZDC and the event plane is flatten by applying Shift correction$

Analysis is carried out in four steps:

- 1- Datasets and Events Selection
- 2- Event Plane reconstruction
- 3- Particle Identification: a. π, k, p ---- TPC & TOF cuts
- 4- Directed Flow (v₁) extraction using the above mentioned relation:



Finally, systematic Study is done by varying the Event, Track and PID selection





Collision System: (U+U)								
Collision Energy	Production id	Run Numbers		Trigger id	No. of Events			
193 GeV (2012)	P12id	13114025-13136015 (783)		400005, 400015, 400025, 400035	≈ 250 M			
Event Cuts			Track C	uts				
Vz < 50 cm	Vr < 2 cm		ŋ <1.0	DCA < 3 cm	nHits Fits >= 15			

PID Selection						
Pion:	Nσ < 2.0	-0.01 < m² < 0.10 (GeV/c²)²	p < 1.6 GeV/c && p _t > 0.2 GeV/c			
Kaon:	Nσ < 2.0	0.20 < m² < 0.35 (GeV/c²)²	p < 1.6 GeV/c && p _t > 0.2 GeV/c			
Proton:	Nσ < 2.0	0.8 < m² < 1.0 (GeV/c²)²	p < 2.0 GeV/c && p _t > 0.4 GeV/c			

Bad Runs [19]
13117026, 13117027, 13117028, 3117029, 13117030, 13117031, 13117032, 13117033, 13117034, 13117035, 13117036, 13118009, 13118034,
13118035, 13119016, 13119017, 13129047, 13129048, 13132047





The sources of systematic uncertainties:

Default	Systematic	
$-50 < V_z^{TPC} < 50 \text{ cm}$	$-50 < V_z^{TPC} < 0 \text{ cm}$	
N _{fits} > 15 cm	$N_{fits} > 20 \text{ cm}$	
-1.0 < y < 1.0 cm	-0.8< y < 0.0 cm & 0.0< y < 0.8 cm	
DCA < 3 cm	DCA < 1.0 cm & DCA < 1.5 cm	
$-2.0 < n\sigma^{TPC} < 2.0 \text{ cm}$	$-1.0 < n\sigma^{TPC} < 1.0 \text{ cm}$ & $-1.5 < n\sigma^{TPC} < 1.5 \text{ cm}$	
$Mass^{2} (pi) = -0.01 - 0.10$ $Mass^{2} (k) = 0.20 - 0.35$ $Mass^{2} (p) = 0.80 - 1.0$	$Mass^{2} (pi) = -0.009 - 0.09$ $Mass^{2} (k) = 0.21 - 0.34$ $Mass^{2} (p) = 0.82 - 0.98$ $\& Mass^{2} (p) = 0.84 - 0.96$	

 \blacktriangleright The systematic uncertainties of v₁ are calculated using the formula:

$$\sigma_i = |Y_i - Y_d| / \sqrt{12},$$

 $\sigma = \sqrt{\sum \sigma_i^2},$

Where,

- Y_i = variation result
- Y_d = default result
- σ = final systematic uncertainty









Rapidity dependent v₁ (Kaon)





Mid Central (10 - 40)%



Rapidity dependent v₁ (Proton)











A linear function "y = mx" is used to get slope (dv₁/dy) within rapidity range (-0.8, 0.8)



AR



• A linear function "y = mx" is used to get slope (dv_1/dy) within rapidity range (-0.8, 0.8)



Centrality Dependent Slope (dv_1/dy) of Proton





- A linear function "y = mx" is used to get slope (dv_1/dy) within rapidity range (-0.8, 0.8)
- For Proton, sign change is observed in mid central collisions (10 20 % centrality)



Centrality Dependent $\Delta(dv_1/dy)$ of pi, k, p







Rapidity dependent v_1 for Different Collision Systems







Centrality dependent Slope (dv_1/dy) for Different Collision Systems











- Slope is fitted using a linear function "y = mx" within rapidity range (-0.8, 0.8)
- For Mid-central collisions, Proton shows a clear system size dependence among the three different collision systems at the same collision energy (~ 200 GeV)
- For proton in Peripheral Collisions, uncertainties are not sufficient to distinguish data among the three different collision systems



p_t dependent v₁ (Pion)



Mid Central (10 - 40)%





p_t dependent v₁ (Kaon)



Peripheral (40 - 80)%

Mid Central (10 -40)%





p_t dependent **v**₁ (Proton)















 Δ(dv₁/dy) shows centrality dependence while Δ(da₁/dy) slope is negligible and seems independent in all centrality bins







 We observe a significant difference in proton Δv₁ among three different colliding systems

Proton Δv_1 : U+U > Au+Au > Isobar

- For Proton, Δv₁ changes sign in peripheral collisions as observed in previous Au+Au and isobar data
- For pion and kaon all data points are consistent among three different collision systems at same collision energy

l Thank You





Backup Slides









TOF Mass Square Distribution

















✓ For central collisions, a clear system size dependence is observed for proton among three different colliding systems, at the same collision energy

For peripheral collisions, uncertainties are not sufficient to distinguish among three different collision systems